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Disaster Risk Reduction Reviews


2000: **UN International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR)**

2001-2002: First global review of DRR initiatives- **Living with Risk** (Input for WSSD and Johannesburg Plan of Implementation, Outline for DRR Framework and indicators)


2007, 2009: 1st and 2nd sessions of the **Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction** – Global reviews and surveys. 2009 HFA Monitor and GAR. Monitor the progress of the HFA implementation, risk updates

UNGA 2008: Request Mid-Term Review of HFA. GP2009 discussed MTR

HFA MTR Focus

• Broad strategic review of the HFA
• Focus on the instrument as a whole
• Analytical exercise based on qualitative research
• Coincidence with the MDGs Review
Timeline Focus of the Review

• Retrospective  2005 – 2010

• Prospective  2010 – 2015 and beyond
Timeframe for Review Implementation

- Substantive work completed between January and December 2010.
- Substantive input into the SG’s ISDR Report to the GA in July 2010
- Mid-Term Review publication to be launched in early 2011
- Input for third session of Global Platform for DRR in May 2011
Methodology

• Strong focus on regional level to gather information

• Conceptual Framework with Key Questions
Qualitative methods to gather information

- Existing reports – Literature Review
- Outcomes of structured regional and thematic workshops
- In-depth studies
- One-on-one interviews with senior experts and policy makers
- On-line debates
Review of existing reports

• Literature Review conducted
• Final report being edited

In-Depth Studies

• List of studies published on PreventionWeb.
• Cooperation agreements/modalities established with partners
Half-Day Regional Workshops

• Scheduled in all regions between March and November 2010

One-day Workshops

• When feasible with Mid-Term Review key questions and thematic focus
### List of main workshops related the HFA Mid-Term Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paris, March 2010</td>
<td>Support Group to the Chair of the European National Platform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangkok, March 2010</td>
<td>ISDR Asia Partnership (IAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cairo, March 2010</td>
<td>HFA Workshop for National Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji, April 2010</td>
<td>Progress Review Cycle of the Pacific DRM Framework for Action (RFA) and the HFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia, April 2010</td>
<td>Meeting on Environment/Disaster Reduction in Santa Marta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nairobi, April 2010</td>
<td>The Second ministerial Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction in Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Delhi, May 2010</td>
<td>Workshop for HFA Mid-Term Review targeting South Asia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva, June 2010</td>
<td>Workshop for HFA Mid-Term Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tokyo, August 2010</td>
<td>Workshop on HFA Mid-Term Review within ASEAN Plus 3 Forum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington, 3 Nov 2010</td>
<td>Workshop on HFA Mid-Term Review for the Northern America Region (US, Canada and Mexico)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One-on-One Interviews (on-going)

- List of suggested interviewees (government focal points, national platform members, ISDR partners and experts etc)
- Suggestions, self-nominations welcome

On-line debates conducted between June 28 – 30 July

Topic 1: 28 June – 2 July
   HFA’s role in informing decision making and priority setting at the national and regional level

Topic 2: 5 – 9 July
   Less effective elements of the HFA

Topic 3: 12 – 23 July
   Integration of climate change in HFA implementation

Topic 4: 26 – 30 July
   What kind of instruments post-HFA?
Main points raised in other workshops

- HFA important role in raising awareness and creating institutional/policy basis for DRR
- Strong need for in-country coordination and direction – governance issues
- Need to articulate vertical synergies between national and local level
- Lack of research/hard data/cost benefit analysis at the national level
- Need increased coordination amongst UN agencies dealing with DRR at the country team and global level.
Initial points from the Literature Review

- Confirmed importance of HFA as guiding framework for national legislation and policy.

- Literature indicated a stronger emphasis on HFA implementation by Priorities of Action rather than by broader strategic goals.

- Risk reduction is still no getting “hardwired” in the business processes of the development sectors, planning and finance ministries.

Knowledge gaps in the literature

- Gaps in understanding the processes
- Gaps in evidence-based information on the effectiveness of different DRR approaches
- Gaps in our understanding of risk reduction work that may be implicit in the work of different development sectors
Please visit the Mid-Term Review dedicated space on PreventionWeb

www.preventionweb.net/go/hfa-mtr

Thank you very much.