

# Magnitude-7.8 Gorkha (Nepal) earthquake of April 25, 2015



M7.8 - 34km ESE of Lamjung, Nepal





#### USG HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE FOR THE NEPAL EARTHQUAKE

Last Updated 05/04/15



The boundaries and names used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the U.S. Government.

#### USGS roles and responsibilities in response to the Ghorka (Nepal) earthquake

- The 24/7 USGS National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) provided a suite of rapid situational awareness products to government and the global public (e.g. ShakeMap, PAGER, Did You Feel It, and ShakeCast).
- Held S&T coordination calls including USAID, FEMA, NASA, NSF, NIST, NGA, OSTP, NSC staff, and a range of university and NGO partners.
- Issued aftershock forecasts to provide a sense of how many, how big, and how long the aftershocks will continue.
- Landslide experts work with partners to prioritize imagery acquisitions and carry out interpretative analysis.
- Coordinated satellite tasking requests through International Charter on Space and Major Disasters. Resulting imagery posted on USGS Hazards Data Distribution System.
- The USGS/USAID Earthquake Disaster Assistance Team (EDAT) is a mechanism to provide technical assistance.

Served as a primary source of information for media outlets.
 USGS

# PAGER: Prompt Assessment of Global Earthquakes for Response

- Correlates ShakeMap with population density database to estimate scale of potential disaster.
- New versions released when new information changes the forecasted impacts.
- Alert levels for estimated fatalities and economic losses.





# PAGER: Prompt Assessment of Global Earthquakes for Response



EXPOSURE	(k = x1000)			7,053K*	82,752K	50,057K	2,300K	4,463K	OOK	0
ESTIMATED MODIFIED MERCALLI INTENSITY		-	-	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X+
PERCEIVED SHAKING		Not felt	Weak	Light	Moderate	Strong	Very Strong	Severe	Violent	Extreme
POTENTIAL DAMAGE	Resistant Structures	none	none	none	V. Light	Light	Moderate	Moderate/Heavy	Heavy	V. Heavy
	Vulnerable Structures	none	none	none	Light	Moderate	Moderate/Heavy	Heavy	V. Heavy	V. Heavy

anna





### **USGS** aftershock forecast

Forecast Time Window	Magnitude (M) range of aftershocks considered	Range of Expected Number of Aftershocks (95% confidence)	Probability of one or more aftershocks	
	M ≥ 5.0	0 - 4	78%	
1 Week starting on May 01, 2015	M ≥ 6.0	0 - 1	14%	
to the end of May 07, 2015	M ≥ 7.0	0 - 0	1%	
	M ≥ 7.8	0 - 0	0.2%	
	M ≥ 5.0	0 - 7	83%	
1 Month starting on May 01, 2015	M ≥ 6.0	0 - 2	16%	
to the end of May 31, 2015	M ≥ 7.0	0 - 1	2%	
	M ≥ 7.8	0 - 0	0.3%	
	M ≥ 5.0	2 - 11	93%	
1 Year starting at May 01, 2015	M ≥ 6.0	0 - 2	24%	
to the end of April 30, 2016	M ≥ 7.0	0 - 1	3%	
	M ≥ 7.8	0 - 0	0.4%	

# Rapid assessment of landslide dam hazard



Marin Clark and Nathan Niemi, Univ. of Michigan

#### Questions for post-earthquake investigations

- Existence of surface rupture?
- Geometry of fault surface that slipped?
- Landslide-dammed rivers causing flood hazard?
- Distribution and nature of landslides?
- Likelihood of large aftershocks?
- How does this fault fit into the regional tectonics?
- How did structures perform?
- How well did retrofits work?
- Why did Kathmandu escape a worst-case disaster?

   USGS

# Priceless data from cheap seismic sensors

#### QuakeCatcher Network (Stanford Univ.)







**NetQuakes** 

(USGS)



# Any questions?

# Get more information at earthquake.usgs.gov

applegate@usgs.gov 703-648-6600



### **Continent-continent collision**



# Stress loading of un-slipped fault ramp

Earthquake did not extend to shallow extent of fault.

Stress has thus been raised on un-slipped, for shallow fault area to the south.

Fault areas to east and west may also have had stress raised.

20

80

70



hypocenter

10

20

approx. updip limit of

slip, 25 April 2015 M7.8

50

distance from MFT (km)

60